

Journal of Neurotherapy: Investigations in Neuromodulation, Neurofeedback and Applied Neuroscience

Editorial Controversy in the Journal and the Readers' Response

David L. Trudeau Editor MD Published online: 17 Oct 2008.

To cite this article: David L. Trudeau Editor MD (2000) Editorial Controversy in the Journal and the Readers' Response, Journal of Neurotherapy: Investigations in Neuromodulation,

Neurofeedback and Applied Neuroscience, 4:2, 5-5

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J184v04n02_02

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

© International Society for Neurofeedback and Research (ISNR), all rights reserved. This article (the "Article") may be accessed online from ISNR at no charge. The Article may be viewed online, stored in electronic or physical form, or archived for research, teaching, and private study purposes. The Article may be archived in public libraries or university libraries at the direction of said public library or university library. Any other reproduction of the Article for redistribution, sale, resale, loan, sublicensing, systematic supply, or other distribution, including both physical and electronic reproduction for such purposes, is expressly forbidden. Preparing or reproducing derivative works of this article is expressly forbidden. ISNR makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any content in the Article. From 1995 to 2013 the *Journal of Neurotherapy* was the official publication of ISNR (www. Isnr.org); on April 27, 2016 ISNR acquired the journal from Taylor & Francis Group, LLC. In 2014, ISNR established its official open-access journal *NeuroRegulation* (ISSN: 2373-0587; www.neuroregulation.org).

THIS OPEN-ACCESS CONTENT MADE POSSIBLE BY THESE GENEROUS SPONSORS



Editorial Controversy in the Journal and the Readers' Response

Neurotherapy is a relatively young and sometimes disputed clinical field. Although this journal uses careful editorial and peer review processes, nothing that appears in the *Journal of Neurotherapy* is beyond dispute. The scientific articles published here, the clinical opinions advanced in "Clinical Corner," the editorial opinions expressed are all open for commentary and reexamination. To continue a dialogue and reevaluation of any matter pertinent to the field of neurotherapy, we invite correspondence from our readers, particularly on articles that appear in this journal. These letters will be published, when suitable, in our "Correspondence" section.

Authors who are critiqued by correspondence will be allowed to answer their critics, in the spirit of promoting meaningful debate and correcting error. Letters to the journal (correspondence) are sought from our readers, with some simple ground rules in play. The letters must be brief, to the point, and collegial in tone. They may include limited references, tables, or an illustration. In addition to criticizing other published work or opinions, letters may also be used to briefly report new or unexpected results, or new methods. They also may be used to report untoward reactions to therapy. All letters must be signed and free of potentially libelous statements. Ad hominum attacks will not be published, nor will letters that are blatantly commercial in orientation. Claims of efficacy for any particular method, equipment or therapy not directly documented by data reported in the letter must be referenced to published studies.

New fields in behavioral health seem to me to develop almost cult-like followings of schools of thought about one particular model or another, with emotional reactions to anything that might be seen as a threat to their perceived orthodoxy. I hope that the correspondence section of the journal can serve as a platform for refereed and objective encounter for disputed facts and opinions in neurotherapy. To that end, your letters to the journal are sought. They will be reviewed and printed as edited (with the author's permission) in the Correspondence section of the journal.

David L. Trudeau, MD Editor E-mail: trude003@tc.umn.edu